2016 Alameda County 4-H Fashion Revue Consumer Science - Purchased Scorecard

Name	Placing Medalist:	☐ County Winner
County	☐ Blue:	
Division: 🗌 Junior: 9-10 🔲 Intermediate:11-13 🔲 🕻	Senior: 14-19 🔲 Red:	
	☐ White:	

	☐ White:					
	Excellent 4	Very Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Needs Improvement 1	Score	
EXHIBITOR						
Self Esteem Poise/Posture	Exhibits confidence. Self assured. Models garment to its best advantage. Good use of gestures and facial expressions.	Confident. Poised and graceful with good posture. Appropriate use of gestures and facial expressions.	Presents garment with some enthusiasm and poise. Limited facial expressions.	Appears awkward or ill at ease when presenting garment. Additional practice will help increase confidence.		
Personal presentation/ Grooming	Fresh and well groomed. Neat in appearance. Skin, hair, nails clean and well cared for. Shoes spotless and in good condition.	Well groomed. Neat in appearance. Skin, hair, nails clean. Shoes clean and in good shape.	Neat in appearance. Skin, hair and nails clean. Shoes need attention.	Appearance needs more attention: skin, hair, nails and/or shoes are untidy or soiled.		
Verbal Communication Interaction with Judges	Thorough knowledge of garment care, fabric, fiber content, overall cost vs. value. Engages confidently with judges. Makes full eye contact.	Able to answer questions regarding garment care, fabric content, construction techniques. Engages with judges. Makes eye contact.	Basic knowledge of garment care and cost vs. value. Limited eye contact and engagement with judges.	Able to answer questions with prompting but nervousness prevents further engagement with judges.		
GARMENT(S)		I			1	
Suitability	Ideal for intended purpose and fits well with rest of wardrobe. Choice of style and design fit age and activities of model.	Mixes with other pieces in wardrobe and is age appropriate.	Can be used with some other pieces in wardrobe. Versatility is limited.	Design is not appropriate for - age - activity or - more than one use.		
Cost vs. Value	Great quality for money invested (fabric, buttonholes, zipper, seams, hems). Cost and time for care is not excessive.	Good quality for money invested (fabric, buttonholes, zipper, seams, hems). Cost and time for care is reasonable.	Average quality for money invested. Cost and time for care not considered.	Poor quality for money invested. Cost or time for care exceeds value of garment.		
Fit	Combination of skills in buying attractive, comfortable, becoming clothing, sized for style and body type. Figure problems minimized.	Neat and well fitted. Adequate ease in proportion to the style and design. Enhances personal attributes.	Fit and ease mostly accurate but needing attention in a few places.	Garment has fit defects that detract from overall appearance.		
Coordinated total look	Outfit looks smart and put together. Style, color and accessories express individual personality. Accessories enhance overall look of outfit.	Outfit compliments the model. Suitable for size, body build and age. Good coordination of style, and color. Accessories relate well to look of outfit.	Outfit looks good on model. Color, style, and/or accessories have minimal effect to enhance look of outfit.	Outfit does not look good on model. Appropriate color and/or accessories lacking or conflict with outfit.		
			TOTAL POINTS			

Disqualification: Entry may not exceed the \$40.00 expenditure limit, not counting sales tax	
Disqualification: Entry must include current-year receipts for all items including shoes	
Judge: Comments:	